## "Do We All Worship the Same God?" Genesis 1: 1-5/ Luke 9: 46-50 The Reverend Robert M. Knight, D. Min. April 13, 2008

In the last few years I've had **several** people--in fact, highly **intelligent** and deeply committed "**Christian** people"--who have "**asked** me" (point-blank): "Do we all worship the same God?" **Consequently**, I have--for at least a "couple of **years**," now--I've been **thinking**: I should "do a sermon" in repsonse **to** "this question." Which--as you may have **noticed**, from "the title" **of** "today's sermon"--that **is** "what I'm doing" **today**.

The "context" of "this question"--on the part of those who have "asked it"--it has "come from" instances such as, for example: when "the President of the Southern Baptist Convention" (several years ago); when he declared, "God doesn't hear the prayers of Jews." Or more recently, as so many "American Christians," at least, have become increasingly "aware of"--if not just as "anxious toward"--the "religion of Islam."

As for "my answer" to "the question"--"Do we all worship the same God?"--it was, has been, and continues to be the "same answer": "Yes" and "No." Which, of course, requires some "explaining." So here goes.

The ancient "Eastern religions" of "Hinduism" and "Buddhism," for instance-they are what are called "poly-theistic" religions: involving the "worship" of many different "gods" and "goddesses." And, frankly, "Buddhism"--which "grows out of" Hinduism--"Buddhism" is actually more of a "philosophy" than a "religion." If you've been "paying attention," however, to the increased "religious pluralism"--here in America, over "several decades," now--you're likely aware of the growing "interest" in and the "influence" of the "poly-theistic Eastern religions," here in our "part of the world."

"Poly-theism" is, of course, "quite different" from "mono-theism": the "worship" of "one God." In fact, at least some "Jews" and "Muslims" would accuse us "Christians" of being "poly-theists." Since "we worship one God" in "three persons" (or more precisely: "three person-as"): the "God revealed to us" in our "Christian Bible," at least, as "Father, Son, and Holy Spirit."

Let me "pause," here--parenthetically--to offer a "brief explanation" of something important. For us "mono-theists"--Jews, Christians, and Muslims-"worshipping God" and "believing in God": they're the same thing. In other words--in "the Bible" and in "the Quaran"--"believing" is not an "abstraction"; it is not mere "intellectual assent"; something any of us can, in fact, "stand apart from" (or "outside of") and simply "speculate on," or even "acknowledge" as "right" or "true" or "good."

Rather--in "the Bible," at least--"believing" and "worshipping" are something you "do." They are "verbs." **Just as-**-in "the Bible"--**God** is a "verb." Whether in **Genesis 12**, where God "tells" **Abram** to "go" to a place God will "show" him (those are

all "verbs"--to "tell," to "go," to show"). Or Jesus (in Matthew 16)--calling us, as "Christian Disciples"--with such "verbs" as "deny, take, and follow" (as in "deny yourself, take up your cross, and follow me").

"Do We All Worship the Same God?"--"Yes"--and "No."

Christianity "grows out of" Judaism. Jesus wasn't "a Christian"; he was, rather, "a Jew." Our "Christian New Testament" is a "witness" (and a "faithful one," at that, on the part of both "Jewish" and "Gentile" Christians)--a "witness" to Jesus as "the promised Messiah (the Christ, if you will) of Israel." Jesus' Bible, however--it was "our Christian Old Testament" (the "Hebrew scriptures")--in which is "revealed" Israel's God, to whom Jesus "prayed." And "God doesn't hear the prayers of Jews"?

The "religion of Islam"--found in the Quaran--was "revealed" to Mohammed, in Saudi Arabia, "700 years" after "the birth of Christianity" ("our faith" being "born" when Jesus was "resurrected"). And, eventhough, Jesus isn't--to Muslims--"who he is" to us, as Christians (the "Christ," Israel's "promised Messiah," the "Son of God," our Savior and Lord); to Muslims, however, Jesus is "revered" as "the last" of the "Hebrew prophets" (in "the tradition" of, for example, Moses and Elijah, Isaiah and Jeremiah); and--for us, "as Christians"--even "John the Baptist").

**That is**, at least, **part** of my "**Yes** answer" **to** "the question": "Do We All Worship the Same God?" At least us "**mono**-theists"--Jews, Christians, and Muslims.

The "No part" of "my answer" comes, of course, from our uniquely Christian "distinctive"--a "conviction not shared" by Jews or Muslims. What "we Christians" call "the Incarnation." Which is not the "deity of Christ"--as you often "hear it said"--that "a man became God." But rather--the "humanity of God"--that "God became a person."

As in, for example, "the Prologue" to "the Gospel of John (which declares): "And the Word became flesh and dwelt among us--full of grace and truth." Or the "first chapter of Colossians" (where "Paul writes"): "In him (Jesus) the fulness of God was pleased to dwell."

The "purpose of which" was (and is) why God "created" and "sustained" Israel. That "all the world" (in Christ; the "fulfillment of Israel; that is, at least, how we Christians "read the Bible"); that "all the world might be saved." Again--as "Paul writes" (in II Corinthians 5)--"For God was in Christ reconciling the world to God's self." Or as Athanasius (a 4th century "church father"); as he put it: "In Christ, God became one with us, that we might become one with God."

I've "said it to you" often. As "Christians"--the "most and best" we "know" of "who God is"--we "see" in "the face of Jesus" (in his "person" and "work"; in our Lord's "teaching" and "life," his "death" and "resurrection").

"Do We All Worship the Same God?"

Both of our "scripture lessons" today are "insightful," I think, when it comes to "answering this question." As in that "first sentence" in "the Bible" (Genesis 1: 1). "In the beginning God," it says. As "Christians," at least, "we believe" that "God is." That's how Chandler Gonzales "said it," recently, in our "Lenten Bible Study." In fact, how "the Bible says it."

What this means, of course, is that God isn't "a being," but rather "Being itself." In other words, in "the Bible," at least--God is a "premise"--not a "conclusion" (or better, perhaps, the "premise" and the "conclusion"; the "beginning" and "the end," the Bible says; "Alpha" and "Omega"; "all and in all"). Which means that you can't "prove God," in the way you can "prove" anything else. It is, rather, "God" in which, by which, and out of which "everything "is "proven" (except God). Since everything else "exists"--but not God. God doesn't "exist"--at least in "the Bible"--in "the way," at least, everyone and every-thing else "exists." Rather--in "the Bible"--God is. In fact, as "Christians," we even "believe" that if God isn't--"nothing else is."

If this sounds "too complicated" (too "abstract," too "intellectual") for "the rest of us" (who aren't, like Chandler Gonzales, "a prodigious 6th-grader), this is precisely "the reasoning" Paul uses--in the most "practical of ways"--in the 8th chapter of "his letter, I Corinthians." The "problem" being one of "worshipping idols" (some-"one," or some-"thing" other than God), where Paul writes: "We know that an idol has no existence, and there is no God but one. For although there may be so-called gods in heaven or on earth," he continues--"as indeed there are many gods and many lords--yet, for us," Paul declares, "there is one God, the Father, from whom are all things and for whom we exist; and one Lord, Jesus, the Christ, thru whom are all things and thru whom we exist."

Put **simply**--as **Paul** "answers the question"; indeed, the "reasoning" of "a **Christian**"--we either "**all** worship the **same** God," or **no one** is "worshipping **any** God. Because "there **is** no **other** God" (at least when you "read the Bible").

In our "Gospel lesson" today, Jesus offers an even more "practical answer" to "this question": "Do we all worship the same God?" The "Disciple" speaking (in "this account")--John--the brother of James (and if you've ever "read the Gospels" in "the New Testament, you know that "these guys," these "brothers," James and John; how they--not unlike "some folk you and I know" all too well--how they are "given" to "letting the clutch out on their tongue, before their brain is in gear"); indeed, it is John who "reports to Jesus" that there is a fellow who is "casting out demons" in "Jesus' name," no less.

"But he's **not** one of **us**," John exclaims. And our Lord replies: "Do not forbid him; for he that is **not against** you is **for** you."

When it comes to "Christian faith," at least--what is so "apparent" (at least, when

you "read **the Bible**")--is that **Jesus** is **far more** "liberal" (**or**, if you **prefer**, "generous"; or perhaps "understanding) when it comes to "who is **in**" and "who is **out**" (if you will, who **does**, and who **doesn't** "worship" the "same **God**"), than are "too many of **us**," I'm afraid, who are like "the Disciple, **John**," here in **Luke 9**. Those of us who "think **we** know more about God" than even "**Jesus** does."

Which, of course, comes not from how "secure" we are (in "our faith")--but tragically--how "in-secure." Not un-like "these Disciples," as (once again) we "encounter them" in "this lesson," where "the Bible says": "And an argument arose among them as to which of them was the greatest."

Anytime anyone is "fighting" (or even "arguing," pejoratively)--"between religions"--or even within a "particular religion," it is (un-fortunate-ly) "more reflective" of mere "insecure speculation" (or so it would seem) than the "kind of commitment" the Bible (with all its "verbs" for "faith," for "believing in"); indeed, the "depth of commitment" the Bible calls "worship." Since "most of us," I suspect: we likely have quite enough to "tend to" in our "own relationship with God," than with (like John, as "Luke tells this story") being "too ready" to "judge" anyone else's "relationship." That is, at least, what Jesus "seems to be saying," here in this "Gospel lesson."

It's not "easy"--living in "both/and" ways--rather than "dividing life (even God) up" so neatly, into such simplistic "either/or, good/bad, right/wrong" categories. That "seems to be," at least, what "John is doing" (in "this Bible story"). In other words, if "this guy isn't with us," that must then mean, therefore, that "he's "against us." Jesus, however--he appears to "see the situation" in a "very different way" (indeed, a "both/and" way); that "not being for" doesn't, necessarily, mean "being against."

Agreed: this is **hardly** the "**easiest** way of thinking"--much **less**, "living" (this "both/**and**" way). But then, who would "**expect** it to be." **Afterall--**whoever "said it was "**easy--**following **Jesus**" (?).

That **is**, however, why "my **answer**" to "the **question**"--"Do we all worship the same God?"--why it **is both** "Yes" **and** "No."

"My religion" is "better than yours"? "My religion" is "superior to yours"? If mine is "right," yours must be "wrong"?

"Do we all worship the same God?" **Think** about it. The "answer" in **Genesis 1** (the "first sentence" in "the **Bible**")? The "answer" **Paul** gives (in **I Corinthians 8**)? The "answer" **Jesus** gives (in **Luke 9**)? The "answer" **Chandler Gonzales** would give?